



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/607,812	06/27/2003	Andrew D. Milligan	3670	8393

7590 05/24/2006

Law Offices of Albert S. Michalik, PLLC
Suite 193
704-228th Avenue NE
Sammamish, WA 98074

EXAMINER

PONIKIEWSKI, TOMASZ

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2165

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/607,812	MILLIGAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tomasz Ponikiewski	2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Kevin D. Jablonski (Attorney of Record). (3) Tom Ponikiewski.
 (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 May 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Carson et al. (U.S. Pub. 2004/0093326 A1).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.



Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's representative requested the interview to discuss proposed amendment to overcome the 35 USC 101 rejection and to discuss the novelty of the invention. The Applicant's representative argued that Carson et al. does not teach a database of taxonomy related information. The Examiner maintained the rejection stating that claim 1 is directed to a database of just data and not taxonomy itself. The representative stated a possible proposed amendment will be filed shortly.